

Spin factors - revised tables.

Because the full nuclear shell model treatments contain contributions from both p and n , model-independent results corresponding to the simple ' $\lambda^2 J(J+1)$ ' value don't exist: the single-particle

$$I_{s(sp)} = C_{WN}^2 \lambda^2 J(J+1).$$

is replaced by

$$I_s = [C_{Wp} \langle S_p \rangle + C_{Wn} \langle S_n \rangle]^2 (J+1)/J,$$

The effective $\lambda^2 J(J+1)$ is therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 J(J+1)_{eff} &= I_s / C_{WN}^2 \\ &= [\langle S_p \rangle + (C_{Wn}/C_{Wp}) \langle S_n \rangle]^2 (J+1)/J \quad (\text{odd proton}) \\ &= [(C_{Wp}/C_{Wn}) \langle S_p \rangle + \langle S_n \rangle]^2 (J+1)/J \quad (\text{odd neutron}) \end{aligned}$$

(for details see *e.g.* Jungman, Kamionkowski & Griest [1] – note transposition of S_{01} and S_{11} in their Table 5)

Table 4 of Lewin & Smith [2] only gave values of C_{WN}^2 , concealing the signs of the C_{WN} . Also, that table contains a misprint – $\cos^2 \theta$ should be $\cos^4 \theta$ (\tilde{B} rows) – and a sign error resulted in wrong values for $C_{WN}^2(\tilde{Z})$. The following table is a corrected and expanded version. Note that the usual notation now is a_p ($\equiv C_{Wp}$), a_n ($\equiv C_{Wn}$).

| WN | C_{WN} | | C_{WN}^2 | | $\frac{\sigma_{WN} _{spin}}{\mu^2 I_s}$ | $\frac{\sigma_{WN} _{spin}}{\sigma_{\nu MN}}$ |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| | NQM | EMC [3] | NQM | EMC [3] | | |
| $\tilde{\gamma}p$ | 0.38 ± 0.01 | 0.31 ± 0.01 | 0.14 ± 0.01 | 0.096 ± 0.009 | $\frac{4}{\pi} \left(\frac{e}{m_{\tilde{q}} c} \right)^4$ | $\left(\frac{M_F}{m_{\tilde{q}}} \right)^4$ |
| $\tilde{\gamma}n$ | -0.04 ± 0.01 | -0.11 ± 0.01 | 0.002 ± 0.001 | 0.012 ± 0.003 | | |
| $\tilde{H}p$ | 0.63 ± 0.01 | 0.68 ± 0.03 | 0.40 ± 0.02 | 0.46 ± 0.04 | $\frac{8G_F^2}{\pi \hbar^4} \cos^2 2\beta$ | $4 \cos^2 2\beta$ |
| $\tilde{H}n$ | -0.63 ± 0.01 | -0.58 ± 0.03 | 0.40 ± 0.02 | 0.34 ± 0.03 | | |
| $\tilde{B}p$ | 0.39 ± 0.01 | 0.32 ± 0.02 | 0.15 ± 0.01 | 0.10 ± 0.01 | $\frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{e}{m_{\tilde{q}} c} \right)^4 \frac{1}{\cos^4 \theta_W}$ | $\left(\frac{M_F}{m_{\tilde{q}}} \right)^4 \frac{1}{4 \cos^4 \theta_W}$ |
| $\tilde{B}n$ | -0.03 ± 0.01 | -0.10 ± 0.02 | $(7 \pm 5) \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.010 ± 0.003 | | |
| $\tilde{Z}p$ | 0.68 ± 0.04 | 0.20 ± 0.08 | 0.46 ± 0.06 | 0.04 ± 0.03 | $\frac{4}{\pi} \left(\frac{e}{m_{\tilde{q}} c} \right)^4 \tan^4 \theta_W$ | $\left(\frac{M_F}{m_{\tilde{q}}} \right)^4 \tan^4 \theta_W$ |
| $\tilde{Z}n$ | 1.17 ± 0.04 | 0.69 ± 0.08 | 1.4 ± 0.1 | 0.5 ± 0.1 | | |

Table 4 (revised): Values of WIMP-nucleon spin factors; $M_F = \sqrt{8} M_W \sin \theta_W \simeq 109 \text{ GeV} c^{-2}$

With values of Δq from Ellis & Karliner [3] and $\langle S_p \rangle$, $\langle S_n \rangle$ from Ressell [4] (F), Ressell & Dean [5] (Na, Te, I, Xe), Engel *et al.* [6] (Al), Ressell *et al.* [7] (Si, Cl), Dimitrov, Engel & Pittel [8] (Ge), and Engel *et al.* [9] (Nb), Table 3 of [2] can be revised and extended:

| Isotope | odd nucleon | J | $\lambda^2 J(J+1)$ | | $\lambda^2 J(J+1)_{eff}$ | | | | comments |
|------------|-------------|-------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| | | | single particle | odd group | $\tilde{\gamma}$ | \tilde{H} | \tilde{B} | \tilde{Z} | |
| 1H | p | $1/2$ | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | |
| ^{13}C | n | $1/2$ | 0.083 | 0.101 | | | | | |
| ^{19}F | p | $1/2$ | 0.75 | 0.647 | 0.686 | 0.698 | 0.685 | 0.593 | |
| ^{23}Na | p | $3/2$ | 0.15 | 0.041 | 0.097 | 0.089 | 0.097 | 0.167 | |
| ^{27}Al | p | $5/2$ | 0.35 | 0.087 | 0.155 | 0.141 | 0.156 | 0.278 | |
| ^{29}Si | n | $1/2$ | 0.75 | 0.063 | 0.055 | 0.053 | 0.056 | 0.050 | |
| ^{35}Cl | p | $3/2$ | 0.15 | 0.077 | 0.0051 | 0.0041 | 0.0051 | 0.016 | |
| ^{43}Ca | n | $7/2$ | 0.321 | 0.152 | | | | | |
| ^{73}Ge | n | $9/2$ | 0.306 | 0.065 | 0.105 | 0.144 | 0.099 | 0.183 | |
| ^{93}Nb | p | $9/2$ | 0.306 | 0.162 | 0.228 | 0.188 | 0.231 | 0.666 | |
| ^{125}Te | n | $1/2$ | 0.75 | 0.161 | 0.242 | 0.245 | 0.242 | 0.248 | 'Bonn A' |
| | | | | | 0.315 | 0.314 | 0.315 | 0.313 | 'Nijmegen II' |
| ^{127}I | p | $5/2$ | 0.35 | 0.007 | 0.112 | 0.084 | 0.114 | 0.455 | 'Bonn A' |
| | | | | | 0.154 | 0.126 | 0.156 | 0.466 | 'Nijmegen II' |
| ^{129}Xe | n | $1/2$ | 0.75 | 0.124 | 0.235 | 0.319 | 0.221 | 0.404 | 'Bonn A' |
| | | | | | 0.209 | 0.244 | 0.203 | 0.277 | 'Nijmegen II' |
| ^{131}Xe | n | $3/2$ | 0.15 | 0.054 | 0.068 | 0.078 | 0.066 | 0.088 | 'Bonn A' |
| | | | | | 0.056 | 0.069 | 0.054 | 0.081 | 'Nijmegen II' |
| ^{133}Cs | p | $7/2$ | 0.194 | 0.052 | | | | | |

Table 3 (revised):Values of $\lambda^2 J(J+1)$ for various isotopes

See D. R. Tovey *et al.* [10] for preferred treatment of this inescapable model-dependency.

References

- [1] G. Jungman, M. Kamionkowski, K. Griest, Physics Reports **267** (1996) 195-376
- [2] J. D. Lewin, P. F. Smith, Astroparticle Physics **6** (1996) 87-112
- [3] J. Ellis, M. Karliner, Phys. Lett. **B 341** (1995) 397–406
- [4] M. T. Ressell, personal communication
- [5] M. T. Ressell, D. J. Dean, Phys. Rev. **C 56** (1997) 535-546
- [6] J. Engel *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **C 52** (1995) 2216-2221
- [7] M. T. Ressell *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D 48** (1993) 5519-5535
- [8] V. I. Dimitrov, J. Engel, S. Pittel, Phys. Rev. **D 51** (1995) R291-R295
- [9] J. Engel *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B 275** (1992) 119-123
- [10] D. R. Tovey *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B 488** (2000) 17-26